

# The Ergodic Hierarchy of Mixing, van der Corput's difference theorem, and the ergodic theory of noncommuting operators

Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems Seminar  
at Wrocław University of Technology

Based on <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.11832>

Sohail Farhangi  
Slides available on [sohailfarhangi.com](http://sohailfarhangi.com)

March 23, 2023

- 1 Review
  - Van der Corput's difference theorem and some applications
  - Mixing properties
- 2 Mixing van der Corput difference theorems
- 3 Ergodic theorems for noncommuting operators
  - Background
  - New results from mixing vdCs
- 4 Examples of systems with singular spectrum

# Table of Contents

## 1 Review

- Van der Corput's difference theorem and some applications
- Mixing properties

## 2 Mixing van der Corput difference theorems

## 3 Ergodic theorems for noncommuting operators

- Background
- New results from mixing vdCs

## 4 Examples of systems with singular spectrum

# The Classical van der Corput Difference Theorem

## Definition

A sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [0, 1]$  is **uniformly distributed** if for any open interval  $(a, b) \subseteq [0, 1]$  we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} |\{1 \leq n \leq N \mid x_n \in (a, b)\}| = b - a. \quad (1)$$

## Theorem (van der Corput, [27])

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [0, 1]$  is such that  $(x_{n+h} - x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed for every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is itself uniformly distributed.

## Corollary

If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is irrational, then  $(n^2\alpha)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed.

Theorem (HvdCDT1, Bergelson [3, Theorem 1.4])

If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space and  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle = 0, \quad (2)$$

for every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right\| = 0. \quad (3)$$

Theorem (HvdCDT2, Bergelson [3, Page 3])

If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space and  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \text{ then} \quad (4)$$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right\| = 0. \quad (5)$$

Theorem (HvdCDT3, Bergelson [3, Theorem 1.5])

If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space and  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1}^H \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \text{ then} \quad (6)$$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right\| = 0. \quad (7)$$

Question

Why would we ever use HvdCDT1 or HvdCDT2 when they are both corollaries of HvdCDT3? Why are there at least 3 Hilbertian vdCDTs and only 1 vdCDT in the theory of uniform distribution?

# Applications of HvdCDTs 1/2

## Theorem (Poincaré)

For any measure preserving system (m.p.s.)  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ , and any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0. \quad (8)$$

Does not need vdCDT.

## Theorem (Furstenberg-Sárközy)

For any m.p.s.  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ , and any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n^2}A) > 0. \quad (9)$$

Furstenberg's proof in [17, Proposition 1.3] uses a form of vdCDT since it uses the uniform distribution of  $(n^2\alpha)_{n=1}^\infty$ . See also [4, Theorem 2.1] for a proof using HvdCDT1 directly.

# Applications of HvdCDTs 2/2

## Theorem (Furstenberg, [17])

For any m.p.s.  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ , any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , and any  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A \cap T^{-2n}A \cap \cdots \cap T^{-\ell n}A) > 0. \quad (10)$$

The proof presented in [9] uses HvdCT3 as Theorem 7.11, and the proof in [18] uses a variation.

## Theorem (Bergelson and Leibman, [6])

For any m.p.s.  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \{T_i\}_{i=1}^\ell)$  with the  $T_i$ s commuting, any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , and any  $\{p_i(x)\}_{i=1}^\ell \subseteq x\mathbb{N}[x]$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which

$$\mu(A \cap T_1^{-p_1(n)}A \cap T_2^{-p_2(n)}A \cap \cdots \cap T_\ell^{-p_\ell(n)}A) > 0. \quad (11)$$

Uses an equivalent form of HvdCT3 as Lemma 2.4.

# Some of the Ergodic Hierarchy of Mixing

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be a m.p.s. If for every  $f, g \in L_0^2(X, \mu)$

- ①  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle U_T^n f, g \rangle = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is **ergodic**.
- ②  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle U_T^n f, g \rangle| = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is **weakly mixing**,
- ③  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle U_T^n f, g \rangle = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is **strongly mixing**,
- ④ and if  $L_0^2(X, \mu)$  has an orthogonal basis of the form  $\{U_T^n f_m\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  has **Lebesgue spectrum**.
- ⑤ which is the same as  $(\langle U_T^n f, g \rangle)_{n=1}^\infty$  being Fourier coefficients of some  $h \in L^1([0, 1], \mathcal{L})$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Lebesgue measure.

These definitions also apply to individual elements  $f \in L_0^2(X, \mu)$ .

# The Symmetric Ergodic Hierarchy of Mixing

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be a m.p.s. If for every  $f \in L_0^2(X, \mu)$

- ①  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle U_T^n f, f \rangle = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is *ergodic*,
- ②  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle U_T^n f, f \rangle| = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is *weakly mixing*,
- ③  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle U_T^n f, f \rangle = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is *strongly mixing*,
- ④  $\mathcal{X}$  has *Lebesgue spectrum* if  $(\langle U_T^n f, f \rangle)_{n=1}^\infty$  are the Fourier coefficients of some  $h \in L^1([0, 1], \mathcal{L})$  taking nonnegative real values.

This theorem also applies to individual elements  $f \in L_0^2(X, \mu)$ .

# Dual notions to various levels of mixing

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be a m.p.s. If  $f \in L^2(X, \mu)$  satisfies

- ①  $U_T f = f$ , then  $f$  is **invariant**.
- ②  $f \in L^2(X, K, \mu)$  where  $(X, K, \mu, T)$  is the Kronecker factor of  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ , then  $f$  is **compact**.
- ③  $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}_P, \mu)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_P$  is the Parreau factor from [22, Theorem 11], then  $f$  is '**anti-mixing**' (provisional term).
- ④ If  $(\langle U_T^n f, f \rangle)_{n=1}^\infty$  are the Fourier coefficients of a measure  $\mu_{f, T}$  that is mutually singular with the Lebesgue measure then  $f$  has **singular spectrum**.
- ⑤  $T$  has **singular spectrum** if all  $f \in L^2(X, \mu)$  have **singular spectrum**, i.e., the maximal spectral type of  $T$  is singular.

## Theorem

For  $f, g \in L_0^2(X, \mu)$ , we have  $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$  if

- ①  $f$  is *invariant* and  $g$  is *ergodic*.
- ②  $f$  is *compact* and  $g$  is *weakly mixing*.
- ③  $f$  is '*anti-mixing*' and  $g$  is *strongly mixing*.
- #  $f$  has *singular spectrum* and  $g$  has *Lebesgue spectrum*.

# Table of Contents

## 1 Review

- Van der Corput's difference theorem and some applications
- Mixing properties

## 2 Mixing van der Corput difference theorems

## 3 Ergodic theorems for noncommuting operators

- Background
- New results from mixing vdCs

## 4 Examples of systems with singular spectrum

# A weak mixing van der Corput difference theorem

Theorem (F. 2022)

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1}^H \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \quad (12)$$

then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a *nearly weakly mixing sequence*. This means that for any other bounded sequence  $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  we morally (*but not literally*) have that

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1}^H \left| \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, y_n \rangle \right| = 0. \quad (13)$$

Loosely speaking, this can be interpreted as a *weak mixing* in any ultrapower  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to a unitary operator induced by the left shift. Note that elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  are sequences in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

# A strong mixing van der Corput difference theorem

Theorem (F. 2022)

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \quad (14)$$

then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a *nearly strongly mixing sequence*. This means that for any other bounded sequence  $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  we morally (*but not literally*) have that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left| \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, y_n \rangle \right| = 0. \quad (15)$$

Loosely speaking, this can be interpreted as a *strong mixing* in any ultrapower  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to a unitary operator induced by the left shift. Note that elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  are sequences in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

# A Lebesgue spectrum vdCdt

Theorem (F. 2023)

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right|^2 < \infty, \quad (16)$$

then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a **spectrally Lebesgue sequence**. If  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(X, \mu)$  and  $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  is bounded and **spectrally singular**, then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n y_n \right\| = 0. \quad (\#)$$

Upgrading the weak convergence from **#** to the strong convergence in **#** necessitates a new proof of the classical vdCDT. See [10, Chapter 2] for variations of MvdCT related to other levels of mixing, as well as uniform distribution. See also [26].

# Table of Contents

## 1 Review

- Van der Corput's difference theorem and some applications
- Mixing properties

## 2 Mixing van der Corput difference theorems

## 3 Ergodic theorems for noncommuting operators

- Background
- New results from mixing vdCs

## 4 Examples of systems with singular spectrum

# Noncommutative ergodic theorems 1/2

Theorem (Frantzkinakis [12, Corollary 1.7])

Let  $a : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Hardy field function for which there exist some  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  satisfying

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(t)}{t^{d+\epsilon}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t^{d+1}}{a(t)} = \infty. \quad (\text{e.g. } a(t) = t^{1.5}) \quad (17)$$

Furthermore, let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $T, S : X \rightarrow X$  be measure preserving transformations. Suppose that the system  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  has **zero entropy**. Then

(i) For every  $f, g \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$  we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^n f \cdot S^{\lfloor a(n) \rfloor} g = \mathbb{E}[f | \mathcal{I}_T] \cdot \mathbb{E}[g | \mathcal{I}_S], \quad (18)$$

where the limit is taken in  $L^2(X, \mu)$ .

## Theorem (Continued)

(ii) For every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A \cap S^{-\lfloor a(n) \rfloor}A) \geq \mu(A)^3. \quad (19)$$

Frantzikinakis and Host [13] proved a similar theorem for  $a(n) = p(n)$  with  $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  of degree at least 2. The **zero entropy** assumption on  $T$  cannot be removed as seen by [18, Page 40] or [2, Example 7.1]. Rohlin [24] showed that every  $T$  with **singular spectrum** must also have **zero entropy**. Note that the Horocycle flow has **zero entropy** [19] and **Lebesgue spectrum** [23].

# There is no Roth Theorem for solvable groups

Theorem (Bergelson-Leibman [7, Theorem 1.2])

Let  $G$  be a finitely generated solvable group of exponential growth. For any partition  $R \cup P = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , there exist an action  $\{T_g\}_{g \in G}$  of  $G$  on a probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ,  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , and set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$  such that

$$\mu(T_{g_1^n} A \cap T_{g_2^n} A) = 0 \text{ if } n \in R \text{ and}$$

$$\mu(T_{g_1^n} A \cap T_{g_2^n} A) \geq \frac{1}{6} \text{ if } n \in P.$$

Note that the group used in [2, Example 7.1] is non-solvable.

# Another Example

Theorem (Frantzkinakis, Lesigne, Wierdl [15, Lemma 4.1])

Let  $a, b : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  be injective sequences and  $F$  be any subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then there exist a probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , measure preserving automorphisms  $T, S : X \rightarrow X$ , both of them Bernoulli, and  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ , such that

$$\mu(T^{-a(n)}A \cap S^{-b(n)}A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \in F, \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } n \notin F. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

## Theorem (F., 2023)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and let  $T, S : X \rightarrow X$  be measure preserving automorphisms for which  $T$  has **singular spectrum**. Let  $(k_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be a sequence for which  $((k_{n+h} - k_n)\alpha)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed in the orbit closure of  $\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ .

① For any  $f, g \in L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^n f \cdot S^{k_n} g = \mathbb{E}[f | \mathcal{I}_T] \mathbb{E}[g | \mathcal{I}_S], \quad (21)$$

with convergence taking place in  $L^2(X, \mu)$ .

## Theorem (Continued)

(ii) *If  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A \cap S^{-k_n}A) \geq \mu(A)^3. \quad (22)$$

(iii) *If we only assume that  $((k_{n+h} - k_n)\alpha)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ , then (i) and (ii) hold when  $S$  is **totally ergodic**.*

Examples include  $k_n = \lfloor a(n) \rfloor$  with  $a(n)$  being as in frame 19,  $k_n = \lfloor n^2 \log^2(n) \rfloor$ , and for part (iii) we may take  $k_n = p(n)$  for  $p(x) \in x\mathbb{Z}[x]$  with degree at least 2.

# Sets of $K$ but not $K + 1$ recurrence?

Theorem (Frantzkinakis, Lesigne, Wierdl [14])

Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  be irrational. Let

$$R_k = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n^k \alpha \in \left[ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \right] \right\}.$$

(i) If  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a probability space and

$S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{k-1} : X \rightarrow X$  are commuting measure preserving transformations, then for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there exists  $n \in R_k$  for which

$$\mu(A \cap S_1^{-n}A \cap S_2^{-n}A \cap \dots \cap S_{k-1}^{-n}A) > 0. \quad (23)$$

(ii) There exists a m.p.s.  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  satisfying  $\mu(A) > 0$  such that for all  $n \in R_k$  we have

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A \cap T^{-2n}A \cap \dots \cap T^{-kn}A) = 0. \quad (24)$$

# Application 2/4

## Theorem (F., 2023)

Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  be irrational. Let  $R_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n^k \alpha \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]\}$ . Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{k-1} : X \rightarrow X$  commuting measure preserving automorphisms. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a measure preserving automorphism with **singular spectrum**, and for which  $\{T, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{k-1}\}$  generate a nilpotent group. For any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there exists  $n \in R$  for which

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A \cap S_1^{-n}A \cap S_2^{-n}A \cap \dots \cap S_{k-1}^{-n}A) > 0. \quad (25)$$

Since the system  $(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathcal{B}^2, \mathcal{L}^2, T)$  with  $T(x, y) = (x + \alpha, y + x)$  can be used in item (ii) of the last slide when  $k = 2$ , the current theorem does not hold for a general  $T$  with 0 entropy. Also note that the maximal spectral type of  $T$  is  $\mathcal{L} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{n\alpha}$ .

# Application 3/4 (A special case)

## Theorem (F., 2023)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $T, S : X \rightarrow X$  be measure preserving automorphisms. Suppose that  $T$  has **singular spectrum** and  $S$  is **totally ergodic**. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_K \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be integer polynomials for which  $\deg(p_1) \geq 2$  and  $\deg(p_i) \geq 2 + \deg(p_{i-1})$ . For any  $f, g_1, \dots, g_K \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ , we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^n f \prod_{i=1}^K S^{p_i(n)} g_i = \mathbb{E}[f | \mathcal{I}_T] \prod_{i=1}^K \int_X g_i d\mu, \quad (26)$$

with convergence taking place in  $L^2(X, \mu)$ .

# Application 4/4 (A special case)

Theorem (F., 2023)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $T, R, S : X \rightarrow X$  be measure preserving automorphisms. Suppose that  $T$  has **singular spectrum**,  $R$  and  $S$  commute, and  $S$  is **weakly mixing**. Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $p_1, \dots, p_\ell \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be pairwise essentially distinct integer polynomials, each having degree at least 2. For any  $f, h, g_1, \dots, g_\ell \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$  satisfying  $\int_X g_j d\mu = 0$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ , we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^n f \cdot R^n h \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} S^{p_j(n)} g_j = 0, \quad (27)$$

with convergence taking place in  $L^2(X, \mu)$ .

# An example to justify our assumptions

Consider the m.p.s.  $([0, 1]^2, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L}^2, T, S)$  with

$S(x, y) = (x + 2\alpha, y + x)$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , and

$T(x, y) = (x, y + x)$ . We see that  $([0, 1]^2, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L}^2, S)$  and

$([0, 1]^2, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L}^2, T)$  are both **zero entropy** systems that are not **weakly mixing**, and the former is **totally ergodic**. Furthermore,  $T$

and  $S$  generate a 2-step nilpotent group. For

$f_0(x, y) = e^{2\pi i(x-y)}$ ,  $f_1(x, y) = e^{2\pi iy}$ , and  $f_2(x, y) = e^{-2\pi ix}$ , we see that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^n f_0(x, y) S^n f_1(x, y) S^{\frac{1}{2}(n^2-n)} f_2(x, y)$$

$$= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i \left( (1-n)x - y + y + nx + (n^2-n)\alpha - x - (n^2-n)\alpha \right)} = 1 \neq 0.$$

# Table of Contents

## 1 Review

- Van der Corput's difference theorem and some applications
- Mixing properties

## 2 Mixing van der Corput difference theorems

## 3 Ergodic theorems for noncommuting operators

- Background
- New results from mixing vdCs

## 4 Examples of systems with singular spectrum

## Examples of systems with singular spectrum

In [5, Proposition 2.9] it is shown that if  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a standard probability space, and  $\text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is endowed with the strong operator topology, then the set of transformations that are **weakly mixing** and rigid is a generic set. Since any rigid automorphism has **singular spectrum**, we see that the set of singular automorphisms is generic. Now let  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  denote the collection of **strongly mixing** transformation, and note that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a meager set since an automorphism cannot simultaneously be rigid and **strongly mixing**. Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is not a complete metric space with respect to the topology induced by the strong operator topology, a new topology was introduced in [25], with respect to which  $\mathcal{S}$  is a complete metric space. It is shown in the Corollary to Theorem 7 of [25] that a generic  $T \in \mathcal{S}$  has **singular spectrum**, and such a  $T$  is mixing of all orders due a well known result of Host [20]. See [11] and [21] for concrete examples of  $T \in \mathcal{S}$  that have **singular spectrum**. See also [1], [8], and [16].

# References I

- [1] C. Aistleitner and M. Hofer.  
On the maximal spectral type of a class of rank one transformations.  
*Dyn. Syst.*, 27(4):515–523, 2012.
- [2] D. Berend.  
Joint ergodicity and mixing.  
*J. Analyse Math.*, 45:255–284, 1985.
- [3] V. Bergelson.  
Weakly mixing PET.  
*Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 7(3):337–349, 1987.

## References II

- [4] V. Bergelson.  
Ergodic Ramsey theory—an update.  
In *Ergodic theory of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  actions (Warwick, 1993–1994)*, volume 228 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–61. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [5] V. Bergelson, A. del Junco, M. Lemańczyk, and J. Rosenblatt.  
Rigidity and non-recurrence along sequences.  
*Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 34(5):1464–1502, 2014.
- [6] V. Bergelson and A. Leibman.  
Polynomial extensions of van der Waerden’s and Szemerédi’s theorems.  
*J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(3):725–753, 1996.

## References III

- [7] V. Bergelson and A. Leibman.  
Failure of the Roth theorem for solvable groups of exponential growth.  
*Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys.*, 24:45–53, 2004.
- [8] A. I. Bufetov and B. Solomyak.  
On substitution automorphisms with pure singular spectrum.  
*Math. Z.*, 301(2):1315–1331, 2022.
- [9] M. Einsiedler and T. Ward.  
*Ergodic theory with a view towards number theory*, volume 259 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*.  
Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011.

## References IV

- [10] S. Farhangi.  
*Topics in ergodic theory and ramsey theory.*  
PhD dissertation, the Ohio State University, 2022.
- [11] B. Fayad.  
Smooth mixing flows with purely singular spectra.  
*Duke Math. J.*, 132(2):371–391, 2006.
- [12] N. Frantzikinakis.  
Furstenberg systems of Hardy field sequences and applications.  
*J. Anal. Math.*, 147(1):333–372, 2022.
- [13] N. Frantzikinakis and B. Host.  
Multiple recurrence and convergence without commutativity.  
*arXiv:2111.01518v2 [math.DS]*, 2021.

## References V

- [14] N. Frantzikinakis, E. Lesigne, and M. Wierdl.  
Sets of  $k$ -recurrence but not  $(k + 1)$ -recurrence.  
*Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 56(4):839–849, 2006.
- [15] N. Frantzikinakis, E. Lesigne, and M. Wierdl.  
Random sequences and pointwise convergence of multiple ergodic averages.  
*Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 61(2):585–617, 2012.
- [16] K. Frączek and M. Lemańczyk.  
On mild mixing of special flows over irrational rotations under piecewise smooth functions.  
*Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 26(3):719–738, 2006.

## References VI

[17] H. Furstenberg.

Ergodic behavior of diagonal measures and a theorem of Szemerédi on arithmetic progressions.

*J. Analyse Math.*, 31:204–256, 1977.

[18] H. Furstenberg.

*Recurrence in ergodic theory and combinatorial number theory.*

Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981.

M. B. Porter Lectures.

[19] B. M. Gurevič.

The entropy of horocycle flows.

*Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 136:768–770, 1961.

## References VII

[20] B. Host.

Mixing of all orders and pairwise independent joinings of systems with singular spectrum.

*Israel J. Math.*, 76(3):289–298, 1991.

[21] I. Klemes and K. Reinhold.

Rank one transformations with singular spectral type.

*Israel J. Math.*, 98:1–14, 1997.

[22] M. Lemańczyk.

Spectral theory of dynamical systems.

In *Mathematics of complexity and dynamical systems. Vols. 1–3*, pages 1618–1638. Springer, New York, 2012.

# References VIII

[23] O. S. Parasyuk.

Flows of horocycles on surfaces of constant negative curvature.

*Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N.S.)*, 8(3(55)):125–126, 1953.

[24] V. A. Rohlin.

Lectures on the entropy theory of transformations with invariant measure.

*Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 22(5 (137)):3–56, 1967.

[25] S. V. Tikhonov.

A complete metric on the set of mixing transformations.

*Mat. Sb.*, 198(4):135–158, 2007.

# References IX

- [26] A. Tserunyan.  
A Ramsey theorem on semigroups and a general van der Corput lemma.  
*J. Symb. Log.*, 81(2):718–741, 2016.
- [27] J. G. van der Corput.  
Diophantische Ungleichungen. I. Zur Gleichverteilung Modulo Eins.  
*Acta Math.*, 56(1):373–456, 1931.